CONTENTS



CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

Method	ls of Maintaining Tax Compliance	.1
•	Consequences for non-compliance prescribed under the Act	.2
Article 2	20 in The Constitution of India 1950	.2
•	Offences and prosecutions under Income-tax Act, 1961	.3
General	l Guidelines	
•	CBDT guidelines instruct that where quantum additions or penalty have been deleted by the departmental appellate authorities, then steps must be taken to withdraw prosecution	.3
•	Examining of a case for prosecution does not necessarily mean filing of Prosecution complaint in the court	
•	Procedure for prosecution	.4
•	Income-tax Authorities have to file a complaint before the competent judicial authority	.4
•	Existence of other mode of recovery cannot act as a bar to the initiation of prosecution proceedings	.4
•	Who is liable to be prosecuted?	
•	Trial by court [Section 292]	.4
•	When public servant liable to be prosecuted?	.5
•	Offence can be compounded	.5
•	Is mens rea or culpable mental state or guilty intention necessary?	.5
•	If appeal is pending against assessment order, prosecution proceedings should not be launched	
•	Pendency of appeal before Tribunal – Stay of prosecution proceedings under the Income-tax Act, 1961 during the pendency of assessment proceedings before authorities was held to be justified	7
•	Two types of proceedings could run simultaneously and that one need not wait for the other - institution of the criminal proceedings cannot in the circumstances also amount to an abuse of the process of the court	
•	Findings of the Tribunal would be binding on the Magistrate Court	
•	Old age 70 Years	
•	CBDT instruction No. 5051 of 1991 dated 07/02/1991 para 4 states	
Withdra	awal of Prosecutions	

x CONTENTS

	Statistics of the number of prosecution cases	9
	CHAPTER 2: EVOLUTION OF LAW RELATING TO PROSECUTIONS	;
	Offences Liable for Prosecutions under Income Tax Act, 1922	10
	 Text of Section 51 of Indian Income-Tax Act, 1922 	10
	Text of Section 52 of Indian Income-Tax Act, 1922	10
	Text of Section 53 of Indian Income-Tax Act, 1922	10
	Text of Section 54 of Indian Income-Tax Act, 1922	11
	Offences and prosecutions under Income-tax Act, 1961	13
	Wanchoo Committee on Tax evasion 1971	13
	"Need for vigorous prosecution policy:	13
	Law Commission Dated 28th February, 1972	14
	Law Commission Report 1972	14
	Comptroller & Auditor General (CAG) Report – 2012	14
	CHAPTER 3: OFFENCES LIABLE FOR PROSECUTIONS UNDER INCOME TAX ACT, 1961	
[1]	Provisions Relating to Search and Seizure	16
[2]	Transfer of Property	18
[3]	Failure to Pay the Government and Includes Evasion of Taxes, False Statement in Verification, Falsification of Books of Account	20
[4]	Failure to Furnish Return of Income/Documents	21
[5]	False Verification and Falsification of Documents	22
[6]	Abetment of False Return, Etc. [Section 278]	23
[7]	Punishment for Second and Subsequent Offences [Section 278A]	23
	CHAPTER 4: CONTRAVENTION OF ORDER MADE TO IN SECOND PROVISO TO SECTION 132(1) OR 132(3) IN CASE OF SEARCH AND SEIZURE [SECTION 275A]	
	❖ Text of Section 275A	24
	Text of Section 132(3)	24
	 Text of Second proviso to Section 132(1) 	24
	Nature of offence	
	Cognizable/or Non-Cognizable	25
	Non-Bailable/Bailable	25
	Summons case/Warrants case	25
	Triable by	25
	Punishment	
	• Approving Authority [CBDT Circular No. 24/2019, dated 09.09.2019]]26

CONTENTS xi

•	Not to part with valuables, books found during search and not seized - Almirah cut open	
(CHAPTER 5: FAILURE TO AFFORD NECESSARY FACILITY TO AUTHORISED OFFICER TO INSPECT BOOKS OF ACCOUNT OR OTHER DOCUMENTS AS REQUIRED UNDER SECTION 132(1)(IIB) [SECTION 275B]	
	 Text of Section 275B 	27
	Text of Section 132(1)(iib)	27
•	Nature of offence	.28
•	Cognizable/or Non-Cognizable	.28
•	Non-Bailable/Bailable	.28
•	Summons case/Warrants case	.28
•	Triable by	.28
•	Punishment	
•	Approving Authority [CBDT Circular No. 24/2019, dated 09.09.2019]	.28
	APTER 6: REMOVAL, CONCEALMENT, TRANSFER OR DELIVERY OPROPERTY TO THWART (AVOID) TAX RECOVERY [SECTION 276]	F
	❖ Text of Section 276	29
•	Nature of offence	.29
•	Non-Bailable/Bailable	.29
•	Summons case/Warrants case	.29
•	Triable by	.30
•	Punishment	.30
•	Approving Authority [CBDT Circular No. 24/2019, dated 09.09.2019]	.30
	CHAPTER 7: FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH PROVISIONS OF SECTION 178(1) AND (3) DEALING WITH COMPANY IN LIQUIDATION [SECTION 276A]	
	 Text of Section 276A 	31
•	Nature of offence	.31
•	Cognizable/or Non-Cognizable	.32
•	Non-Bailable/Bailable	.32
•	Summons case/Warrants case	.32
•	Triable by	
•	Approving Authority [CBDT Circular No. 24/2019, dated 09.09.2019]	.32
	❖ Text of Section 178	32
	CHAPTER 8: FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 269AB OR SECTION 269-I [SECTION 276AA]	
	 Text of Section 276AA 	34

xii CONTENTS

	❖ Text of Section 269AB	34
	 Text of Section 269-I 	35
СН	IAPTER 9: FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH PROVISIONS OF SECTION 269UC, 269UE AND 269UL [SECTION 276AB]	IS
	 Text of Section 276AB 	37
	 Text of Section 269UC 	37
	❖ Text of Section 269UE	38
	❖ Text of Section 269UL	39
•	Nature of offence	40
•	Non-Bailable/Bailable	40
•	Summons case/Warrants case	40
•	Triable by	
•	Punishment	
•	Approving Authority [CBDT Circular No. 24/2019, dated 09.09.2019]	40
	HAPTER 10: FAILURE TO PAY TAX TO THE CREDIT OF CENTRAL OVERNMENT UNDER CHAPTER XXII-D OR XVII-B [SECTION 276E	
•	Failure to pay tax deducted at source or the tax payable under section 115-O(2) or second proviso to section 194B	
	❖ Text of Section 276B	41
•	Nature of offence	41
•	Cognizable/or Non-Cognizable	
•	Non-Bailable/Bailable	
•	Summons case/Warrants case	42
•	Triable by	42
•	Punishment	42
•	Threshold for launching prosecution in respect of the offences for failure to pay tax to the credit of Central Government under	
	Chapter XII-D or XVII-B	
•	Approving Authority [Section 276B]	
Provisi	ons in Brief	
•	Initiating prosecution with the previous sanction of tax authorities	45
•	Directors cannot be acquitted merely on ground that no separate notices were issued to them	46
•	Sanction – Chief Commissioner – Late deposit of tax deducted at source - If sanctioning was held to be not as per requirement of law summons issued by the Court can be challenged	
•	Failure to pay to the credit of the Government tax deducted at source – Directors in charge, to show that offence occurred without their knowledge or due diligence was exercised by them to prevent	

CONTENTS xiii

	commission of offence–Non-issuance of separate notices, does not absolve directors in charge–Order of lower courts acquitting directors is held to be erroneous - Benefit of probation granted to accused directors of assessee and levy of fine	47
•	Officer needs to issue notice under section 2(35) expressing intention to treat him as 'principal officer'	49
•	A company is not a natural person but legal or juristic person. That would not mean that it is not liable to prosecution under the Act	
•	In charge at the time of Offence - Responsible	
•	Reasonable cause	
•	Unintentional TDS default is not punishable	50
•	No person shall be punishable for any failure referred to in section 276B if he proves that there was reasonable cause for such failure	50
•	Launching prosecution after 3 years' lapse for delay in TDS deposit, mere harassment	51
•	Prosecution Offences under section 276B–Reasonable cause – Negligence on part of accountant	51
•	TDS default amount and period is important	51
C	CHAPTER 11: FAILURE TO PAY THE TAX COLLECTED AT SOURCE [SECTION 276BB]	
	 Text of Section 276BB 	53
•	Nature of offence	
•	Cognizable/or Non-Cognizable	
•	Non-Bailable/Bailable	
•	Summons case/Warrants case	
•	Triable by	
•		54
•	Time period for the entire process from identification to passing of order under section 279(1)/279(2)	54
•	Time period for the entire process from identification to passing of order under section 279(1)/279(2)	54
•	Threshold for launching prosecution in respect of the offences for default for failure to pay the tax collected at source	54
•	Approving Authority [Section 276BB]	55

xiv CONTENTS

Provisions in Brief	.55
CHAPTER 12: WILFUL ATTEMPT TO EVADE TAX, PENALTY OR INTEREST ETC. [SECTION 276C]	
 Text of Section 276C 	56
The term "willful"	.57
What is an "Attempt"?	.57
The word 'evade'	
Evasion of tax	.58
• Difference between sub-section (1) and sub-section (2) of section 276C.	.58
Nature of offence [Section 276C(1)]	.58
Important elements of section 276C(1)	.58
Cognizable/or Non-Cognizable	
Non-Bailable/Bailable	.59
Punishment	.59
Triable by	.59
Threshold for launching prosecution in respect of the offences for	
Wilful attempt to evade tax, etc.	
Approving Authority [Section 276C(1)]	
Nature of offence [Section 276C(2)]	
Elements of section 276C(2)	
Cognizable/or Non-Cognizable	
Non-Bailable/Bailable	
• Punishment	
Triable by	
Approving Authority [Section 276C(2)]	.61
 Where assessee entered into several share transactions and earned short-term capital gain of huge amount from it, however, he did not disclose such gain in its return of income and evaded payment of tax on same, impugned prosecution proceedings initiated against assessee under section 276C for concealment of income with a view to evade tax was justified and same was to be upheld 	.62
Wilful attempt to evade tax - Delay in payment of tax which was	
paid subsequently – Criminal proceedings quashed [Section 276C(2)]	.62
 Where in search conducted under section 132 department had seized relevant books of account, and as such, assessee could not file return of income on time, assessee had no wilful intention to evade tax and since it had paid entire tax amount, offence under section 276C(2) was not at all attracted	.63
 Where assessee deposited/paid self-assessment tax dues belatedly after coercive steps were taken by department, there could not be an 	

CONTENTS xv

	inference that there was wilful attempt on part of assessee under section 276C to evade payment of tax and, therefore, prosecution under	
	section 276C was to be quashed	3
•	In terms of section 276C(1), compounding fee has to be computed on basis of 100 per cent of tax sought to be evaded by assessee and not amount of income sought to be evaded	4
•	Prosecution launched in 2008 under sections 276C, 277 & 278B of the Income-tax Act, 1961 for alleged tax evasion in Assessment year 1994-95 deserves to be quashed because the assessee has paid the tax and the penalty & also taking into account the year in which the alleged offence was committed. The assessee has been paying incometax regularly & has not been prosecuted for any false disclosure either earlier or thereafter. It would only be in the nature of harassment to the petitioners, and an abuse of the process of the Court, if this case is allowed to be continued. (Note: The Supreme Court has directed issue of notice on the Department's SLP)	4
•	There is one exception that the presumption in terms of Section 132(4A) is not applicable in cases of prosecution under Section 276C and 277	5
•	Unless a finding is recorded by the assessing officer as to a willful attempt to evade tax or filing false verification, the complaint filed by the Deputy Director is not maintainable	5
•	Prosecution can be launched before Income Tax Assessment completion - Prosecution proceedings were separate and distinct from the assessment or re-assessment proceedings. There was no requirement under the Act that the assessment proceedings should be completed before launching prosecution. High Court refused to quash prosecution for the compounding of offense under Section 276C(1) of Income Tax Act	6
•	Wilful attempt to evade tax - Non-technical offence - False statement in verification - Reduction of penalty by CIT(A) - Prosecution cannot proceed - Compounding of offences - Application for compounding to be considered by committee specified in circular - DGIT has no jurisdiction to reject the application	
•	Wilful attempt to evade tax – Concealment penalty is deleted – Quashing of prosecution is automatic – The High Court can exercise its inherent jurisdiction to quash the prosecution and not indulge in the empty formality of directing the assessee to approach the Trial Magistrate	8
•	Wilful attempt to evade tax – Stay petition dismissed by Tribunal – Quantum appeal is pending—Launching of prosecution is held to be not justified – Prosecution was quashed and the assessee was discharged from the prosecution	8
•	Wilful attempt to evade tax–None of the authorities gave clear finding	

xvi CONTENTS

	about evading tax wilfully–Minor lapse on the part of the assessee of not mentioning stock, undisclosed income in the facts of this case	
	do not attract launch of prosecution - Prosecution proceedings were	
		69
•	Deposit of self-assessment tax belatedly - No inference can be drawn that there was wilful attempt to evade on part of assessee to evade	
	payment of tax - Prosecution was quashed	69
•	Wilful attempt to evade tax - Stay petition dismissed by Tribunal - Quantum appeal is pending–Launching of prosecution is held to be not justified - Prosecution was quashed and the assessee was discharged from the prosecution	70
•	Wilful attempt to evade tax–Order of penalty was set aside on ground that there was no concealment of income - Prosecution was liable to be quashed	71
•	Wilful attempt to evade tax – The burden of proving the absence of <i>mens rea</i> is upon the accused and such absence needs to be proved not only to the basic threshold of "preponderance of probability" but "beyond reasonable doubt". In every prosecution case, the Court shall always presume culpable mental state and it is for the accused to prove the contrary beyond reasonable doubt. This presumption is a rebuttable one – Petition to quash the proceedings	71
•	Wilful attempt to evade tax – Depreciation on land – A claim in the return which is scrutinised by the auditors and the directors cannot be considered as a mere accounting mistake, hence order of the learned Magistrate is upheld	72
•	Prosecution for bogus transaction - Wilful attempt to evade tax – Pendency of appeal before CIT(A)-Stay – Alleged bogus purchases– During pendency of stay the criminal prosecution should not be launched and, if it has been already launched, the same shall not be proceeded	72
•	Wilful attempt to evade tax - False verification of statement in return— Error committed by clerk of CA - As the tax amount involved in the instant criminal appeal was meagre, and had been paid long ago, the CBDT Cicular, dated 07.10.1992 squarely applied and, therefore, no proceedings should have been filed as amount was below Rs. 25,000. Hence, the Supreme Court quashed the criminal proceedings against the assessees	
•	Willful attempt to evade tax – Search and seizure - Failure to pay self assessment tax - Issue of show cause was held to be justified—	
•	Petition to quash complaint was dismissed	74
		74

CONTENTS xvii

•	Wilful attempt to evade tax - Assessee had not been exonerated by the Income-tax Department in the adjudication proceedings till date - Proceeding is allowed to continue	74
•	If the Appeal is admitted on substantial questions of law, there is no justification for the DCIT to threaten the assessee with prosecution. Even if such prosecution is launched, the same shall not proceed till the pendency of the Appeal	75
•	Willful attempt to evade tax – Notice under section 156 for recovering the tax need not be issued before launching prosecution–Existence of other modes of recovery cannot act as a bar to the initiation of prosecution proceedings	75
•	Wilful attempt to evade tax - When Concealment penalty is deleted on merits - Automatic cancellation of prosecution	76
•	Pendency of appeal before Tribunal – Criminal proceedings must be stayed where appellate proceedings are yet to be finalized - Stay of prosecution proceedings under the Income-tax Act, 1961 during the pendency of assessment proceedings before authorities was held to be justified	76
•	Failure to disclose the source of income, in contradistinction to the failure or refusal to disclose the corpus/income itself is not punishable under section 276C(1)	77
•	Amount of tax sought to be evaded - Where there is reduction of loss, but the assessee is finally assessed at a loss figure, the motive to avoid tax during the year in question is completely missing and therefore, criminal proceedings under sections 276C(1), 277 and 278B would amount to abuse of the process of Court	78
•	Where assessment is under progress, the Court held that Return yet to be filed and the genuineness of the sale agreement is yet to be decided in regular assessment, criminal complaint alleging offence under section 276C would be premature and hence liable to be quashed	78
•	Pendency of appeal from assessment proceedings is no bar to maintenance of prosecution for offence under ss. 276C and 277	79
	CHAPTER 13: WILFUL FAILURE TO FURNISH RETURNS OF INCOME [SECTION 276CC]	
•	Nature of offence	80
•	Cognizable/or Non-Cognizable	
•	Non-Bailable/Bailable	
•	Punishment	
•	Triable by	
•	Approving Authority [Section 276CC]	
	❖ Text of Section 139(1)	81

xviii CONTENTS

Return of inc	come	— 81
*	Text of Section 142(1)(i)	84
*	Text of Section 148	85
Issue of notic	ce where income has escaped assessment	85
*	Text of section 148A	87
*	Text of Section 153A	88
 Offe 	nces under section 276CC: Failure to furnish returns of income	90
	are to furnish return of income – Finding that delay was not al – Conviction is held to be not valid	91
- Pet	are to furnish return of income - Quantum appeal is pending ition to quash the proceedings before the magistrate court is hissed	91
	effect on prosecution under section 276CC by subsequent filing come Tax Return	91
that	osit of self-assessment tax belatedly - No inference can be drawn there was wilful attempt to evade on part of assessee to evade ment of tax - Prosecution was quashed	92
– Sar ever inco Offic Retu Man	are to furnish return would not come in way of criminal prosecution action – Commissioner empowered to sou moto initiate penalty if assessing authority is Additional Commissioner–Return of me – Failure to furnish – Omission on part of the Assessing cer to impose penalty by itself does not mean default is not willfularn of Income – Primary responsibility of furnishing return is of aging Director – Directors also equally responsible for furnishing return.	
pros Offic direc	are to furnish return of income–Superior authority can also sanction ecution –Imposition of penalty under Section 271F by Assessing ter not a prerequisite for sanctioning prosecution – MD and other ctors equally responsible for non-fling of return and hence other ctors are also at default	
for c long	en High Court has given direction to consider the application ompounding, pendency of appeal against conviction could no er be a reason for refusing consideration for compounding of nee	95
 Failu offer pres 	are to furnish return of income - Compounding of offences - First nce-Subsequent assessment year the return was not filed within cribed time, offence for subsequent assessment year could not be	95
Whe to sh crim	bre the assessee had already furnished all relevant details in reply all tow cause notice under section 142(1), revenue cannot initiate inal prosecution under section 279 against the assessee for non-	96

CONTENTS xix

CHAPTER 14: WILFUL FAILURE TO FURNISH RETURN OF INCOME IN SEARCH CASES [SECTION 276CCC]	
 Text of Section 276CCC 	98
Nature of offence	98
Cognizable/or Non-Cognizable	98
Non-Bailable/Bailable	98
Punishment	98
• Approving Authority [CBDT Circular No. 24/2019, dated 09.09.2019]	98
 Text of Section 132A 	99
 Text of Section 158BC 	100
CHAPTER 15: FAILURE TO PRODUCE ACCOUNTS AND DOCUMENT [SECTION 276D]	S
 Text of Section 276D 	102
Nature of offence	.102
Cognizable/or Non-Cognizable	
Non-Bailable/Bailable	
Triable by	
Punishment Approxima Authority ICPDT Circular No. 24/2010, dated	.103
Approving Authority [CBDT Circular No. 24/2019, dated 09.09.2019]	.103
• Failure to produce books of accounts – Documents - Pendency of appeal has no bearing on the initiation of the prosecution under the Act–At the time of commission of alleged offence the petitioner has not reached the age of 70 Years, hence circular was held to be not	102
 applicable Failure to produce accounts and documents (Stay of prosecution proceedings) - Pendency of appellate proceedings has no bearing on initiation of prosecution under Act 	
CHAPTER 16: FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 269SS [SECTION 276DD]	
 Text of Section 269SS 	105
CHAPTER 17: FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 269T [SECTION 276E]	
❖ Text of Section 269T	107
CHAPTER 18: FALSE STATEMENT IN VERIFICATION, ETC. [SECTION 277]	
❖ Text of Section 277	109
Nature of offence	
Cognizable/or Non-Cognizable	

CONTENTS XX

•	Triable by	110
•	Punishment	110
•	Pre-conditions for applying section 277	110
•	Mens rea	110
•	Punishment	110
•	Approving Authority [Section 277]	111
•	Prosecution initiated by revenue alleging offences under sections 276C(2) and 277 of Income-tax Act, against petitioner company and its directors carrying on business of construction of apartments and development and sale of plots was misconceived, hence, not sustainable	111
•	No prejudice will be caused to assessees in transfer of their case from Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate Court to Special Court even when right of revision under section 397 of Cr.P.C. in taken away by such transfer	112
•	False statement – Verification – Additions made in block assessment based on discrepancy in stocks - Prosecution is not valid	
•	False statement – Verification – Principal Assessing Officer - Bogus claim of brokerage - Subscribed her signature in profit and loss account and balance sheet of company for relevant assessment year which were filed along with returns – Assessing Officer was justified in naming her as Principal Officer and accordingly she could not be exonerated for offence under Section 277 of the Act	113
•	Delhi District Court - Assessment proceedings not prerequisite for launching prosecution for blatant denial of BVI Investment	114
•	Assessee made false statement in respect of income of M/S Young India which finding was set aside by ITAT - Prosecution was quashed by the Supreme Court	118
•	Failure to establish the falsity of explanation offered by the assessee,	110
	the prosecution was lost	118
•	Pendency of appeal from assessment proceedings is no bar to maintenance of prosecution for offence under ss. 276C and 277	
	CHAPTER 19: FALSIFICATION OF BOOKS OF ACCOUNT OR DOCUMENT, ETC. TO ENABLE ANY OTHER PERSON TO EVADE ANY TAX, PENALTY OR INTEREST CHARGEABLE/LEVIABLE UNDER THE ACT [SECTION 277A]	
	❖ Text of Section 277A	120

CONTENTS	xxi

•	Explanation to Section 277A	
•	FOR EXAMPLE	
•	Nature of offence	
•	Cognizable/or Non-Cognizable	
•	Non-Bailable/Bailable	
•	Triable by	
•	Punishment.	
•	Approving Authority [CBDT Circular No. 24/2019, dated 09.09.2019 Falsification of books – False TDS certificate – Tax practitioner – Refu on the basis of TDS certificates – Respondent had no role in preparin TDS certificates – ITO could not initiate criminal proceedings for commission of offences punishable under IPC	ınd g
CI	HAPTER 20: ABETMENT OF FALSE RETURN, ETC. [SECTION 278	3]
	❖ Text of Section 278	123
•	Nature of offence	123
•	Cognizable/or Non-Cognizable	
•	Non-Bailable/Bailable	123
•	Triable by	
•	Punishment	
•	Approving Authority [Section 278]	•
CHA	PTER 21: SECOND AND SUBSEQUENT OFFENCES UNDER SECT 276B, 276C(1), 276CC, 277 OR 278 [SECTION 278A]	TION
	❖ Text of Section 278A	127
•	Nature of offence	127
•	Triable by	127
•	Punishment	
СН	APTER 22: PUNISHMENT NOT TO BE IMPOSED IN CERTAIN CAS [SECTION 278AA]	ES
	❖ Text of Section 278AA	128
•	No imprisonment in case of reasonable cause for failure	
•	Tax deduction at source - Reasonable cause - No punishment	
•	Unintentional TDS Default is not punishable	
	CHAPTER 23: POWER OF PRINCIPAL COMMISSIONER OR COMMISSIONER TO GRANT IMMUNITY FROM PROSECUTION [SECTION 278AB]	
	• T + (C + C - OTO A B	100

xxii CONTENTS

•	Power of Commissioner to grant immunity from Prosecution [Section 278AB]	
	CHAPTER 24: OFFENCES BY COMPANIES [SECTION 278B]	
•	❖ Text of Section 278B132Elements of section 278B(1).134Nature of liability.136Mens rea.136Strict Construction.136Directors in charge, to show that offence occurred without their	
	knowledge or due diligence exercised by them to prevent commission of offence–Non-issuance of separate notices, does not absolve directors in charge–Order of lower courts acquitting directors is held to be erroneous–Benefit of probation granted to accused directors of assessee and levy of fine	
•	Failure to pay to the credit tax deducted at source–Non-Executive Chairman is not involved In Day-To-Day affairs of company - Managing Director admitting Liability and entering into negotiations with revenue - Prosecution of Non-Executive Chairman is held to be not valid137	
•	Launching of prosecution against sleeping partner was held to be bad in law for failure to pay the tax140	
•	Firm and partners	
•	Prosecution against a proprietary concern is not maintainable141	
•	Accountant	
•	Company and Directors etc142	
•	Society142	
•	Manager	
•	Absence of company being impleaded, could also be no ground for	
	proceeding with the prosecution	
·	company	
CHAPTER 25: OFFENCES BY HINDU UNDIVIDED FAMILIES [SECTION 278C]		
•	Criminal liability in the cases of offences by Hindu undivided families - Section 278C [Taxation Laws (Amendment) Act, 1975-I145	
•	Karta liable for tax offence of the HUF - Member of HUF cannot be held liable for delay in filing of the return of HUF, though he has participated in the assessment proceedings	
CHAPTER 26: PRESUMPTION AS TO ASSETS, BOOKS OF ACCOUNT, ETC. IN CERTAIN CASES (SECTION 278D)		

CONTENTS	xxiii

		_
*	()	18
*	Text of Section 132A(1)	18
CHA	PTER 27: PRESUMPTION AS TO CULPABLE MENTAL STATE [SECTION 278E]	
*	Text of Section 278E	50
	ore the amendment by the Taxation Laws (Amendment and cellaneous Provisions) Act, 198615	51
Sect upo	ion 278E places the burden of proving the absence of mens rean the accused [Section 278E]15	51
asse	den lies on the assessee under Section 278E to prove whether the ssee has or has not committed willful default in filing the returns .15	
	stitutional validity of the said provision was upheld	
• Pres	sumption can be rebutted but burden is heavy on the accused15	13
	R 28: PROSECUTION TO BE AT THE INSTANCE OF PRINCIPAL COMMISSIONER OR CHIEF COMMISSIONER OR PRINCIPAL COMMISSIONER OR COMMISSIONER	
*	Text of Section 279(1)	54
*	Text of Section 269UA(c)	54
*	Text of Section 269UB	55
	hority giving the sanction may prima facie consider all the evidence other circumstantial evidence before coming to a conclusion	
• San	ction under Section 279(1)15	56
	umstances under which the Commissioner cannot initiate	
-	reedings	
	OT Instruction No. 5051/1991, dated 07.02.1991	8
of p of o	n-technical offence – False statement in verification – Reduction enalty by CIT(A) – Prosecution cannot proceed – Compounding ffences – Application for compounding to be considered by mittee specified in circular – DGIT has no jurisdiction to reject application	50
• At t	he time of commission of offence the petitioner has not reached the	
_	of 70 years, hence the circular was held to be not applicable16	0)
	ence of an opportunity to be heard will not make the order of ction void or illegal16	51
Con ther	nmissioner can <i>suo motu</i> launch prosecution proceedings and e is no need for any proposal to be submitted by the Officer for ach of prosecution16	
• In v is m	iew of existing guidelines issued by the CBDT, where an accused ore than seventy years of age, any prosecution against him ald be dropped16	

xxiv CONTENTS

•	Complaint at instance of Commissioner by third person is permissible	162
	CHAPTER 29: COMPOUNDING OF AN OFFENCE	
	❖ Text of Section 279(2)	163
•	Compounding of prosecution or offence [Section 279(2)]	163
•	Eligibility Conditions for compounding	
•	Classification of Offences	
•	Offences generally not to be compounded	165
•	Compounding is not a matter of right	
•	Compounding procedure	
•	Essential contents of compounding application	
•	Compounding Provision	
•	Compounding is Not a Matter of Right	
•	Applicability of These Guidelines To Prosecutions Under IPC	
•	Classification of Offences	
•	Eligibility Conditions For Compounding	171
•	Offences Normally Not To Be Compounded	
•	Relaxation Of Time	
•	Authority Competent To Compound An Offence	174
•	Compounding Procedure	
•	Compounding Charges	
•	Fees For Compounding	
•	Section 278 – Abetment of false return etc.	
•	Encl: As above	
	❖ Annexure 1	184
	❖ Annexure 2	186
•	Suggested Check List for Compounding as per the Guidelines issued by the CBDT vide F. No 285/08/2014-IT (lnv.V), dated 14.06.2019 on Compounding of Offences	186
	• Annexure 3	190
	❖ (Suggested Format)	190
•	Order Under Section 279(2) of Income Tax Act, 1961	
•	ORDER under section 279(2) of the Income-Tax Act, 1961	
	Annexure 3	192
•	Order u/s 279(2) of the Income-tax Act, 1961	
-	Annexure A	194
	Statement of facts	
•	Draft Applications - in case of section 276B	
•	Application for compounding of prosecution under section 276- case of for Assessment Year Regarding	B in the

CONTENTS	XXV
----------	-----

•	Assessment Year - Amount of Penalty	196
•	Wilful attempt to evade tax – Compounding of offences – Compounding fees to be computed on basis of tax evaded and not income sought to be evaded	196
•	Compounding of an offence – No time limit is prescribed – The CBDT has no jurisdiction to demand that the assessee to pay a 'pre-deposit' as a pre-condition to considering the compounding application - Guidelines fixing compounding fees was held to be valid–Application For Compounding twenty years after assessment order and after framing of criminal charges — Determination of compounding fees was held to be valid	197
•	Failure to pay to the credit tax deducted at source - Application for compounding of offence for delay in depositing tax deducted at sour was dismissed only on ground that nobody attended proceedings when said application was taken up for hearing—order was to be set aside and, matter was remanded back for disposal on merits	
•	Compounding of offences – Application for compounding cannot be rejected merely because, conviction of assessee in criminal court	199
•	The expression "amount sought to be evaded" in CBDT's compound guidelines dated 23.12.2014 means the amount of "tax sought to be evaded" and not the amount of "income sought to be evaded"	Ü
•	Compounding of offences - Failure by assessee to deposit amount deducted as tax at source was beyond its control, Order rejecting application for compounding not sustainable – Guidelines issued by CBDT do not constitute a bar for consideration of application seeking compounding of offence having regard to facts of the case	
•	Compounding of offences – Application for compounding cannot be rejected merely because, conviction of assessee in criminal court	199
•	False verification in return - Conviction and sentence confirmed - Liberty to Department to consider application for compounding offence	200
•	Court cannot compel the Commissioner to compound the offence	201
CHAP	PTER 29A: COMPOUNDING OF AN OFFENCE [SECTION 279(4) TO	(6)]
CHAPTER 30: CERTAIN OFFENCES TO BE NON-COGNIZABLE [SECTION 279A]		
	 Text of Section 279A 	203
•	Cognizable and non-cognizable offences	
CHAPTER 31: PROOF OF ENTRIES IN RECORDS OR DOCUMENTS [SECTION 279B]		
	 Text of Section 279B 	205

xxvi CONTENTS

CHAPTER 32: DISCLOSURE OF PARTICULARS BY PUBLIC SERVANTS [SECTION 280]	
 Text of Section 280 	206
Text of Section 138(2)	206
When public servant liable to be prosecuted?	206
Nature of default	207
Bailable/or Non-Bailable	
Triable by	
• Punishment	207
Clarification on providing information under section 138 of the Income Tax Act, 1961	207
CHAPTER 33: SPECIAL COURTS [SECTION 280A]	
 Text of Section 280A 	209
CHAPTER 34: OFFENCES TRIABLE BY SPECIAL COURT [SECTION 280B]	
 Text of Section 280B 	216
Offences Triable by Special Court	216
Text of Section 292	216
Cognizance of Offences	
• Text of Section 190 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973	
Section 88 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973	217
CHAPTER 35: TRIAL OF OFFENCES AS SUMMONS CASE [SECTION 280C]	
 Text of Section 280C 	218
• A summons-case means a case relating to an offence, not being a	
warrant-case	_
A warrant-case Difference between summons and Warrant cases	
Text of Section 205 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973	
CHAPTER 36: APPLICATION OF CODE OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE,	
TO PROCEEDINGS BEFORE SPECIAL COURT [SECTION 280D]	
• Text of Section 2(u) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973	221
• Text of Section 24 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973	
Definitions. Section 2 of CrPC	
CHAPTER 37: PROCEEDINGS BEFORE INCOME-TAX AUTHORITIE TO BE JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS [SECTION 136]	S
Text of Section 136	225

CONTENTS xxvi	i
---------------	---

•	False Evidence	.225
	 Text of Section 193 of the Indian Penal Code 	225
	 Section 195 of The Indian Penal Code 	226
	 Text of Section 196 of The Indian Penal Code 	226
	 Text of Section 228 of the Indian Penal Code 	226
	CHAPTER 38: LIMITATION FOR INITIATION OF PROCEEDINGS	
•	Criminal Procedure Code, 1973	.228
•	Text of Section 468 of The Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973	.228
	 Bar to Taking Cognizance After Lapse of the Period of Limitation 	228
•	Economic offences – No limitation is provided for initiation of proceedings	229
•	Approach of Courts to Economic Offences Dealing with Sections 135 and 111 of the Customs Act	229
•	Bar of limitation specified in section 468 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 would not apply to a prosecution under the Income-	220
•	Black Money (Undisclosed Foreign Income and Assets) and Imposition of Tax Act, 2015	
	CHAPTER 39: PROCEDURE GOVERNING PROSECUTION PROCEEDINGS	
•	Procedure followed by the department while launching the	
	prosecution	.232
•	Opportunity of being heard before giving sanction under section	222
	279 of the Act	
•	Sanction for launching of prosecution	233
•	Sanction must be in respect of each of the offences in respect of which the accused is to be prosecuted	.233
•	Prosecution be initiation during the pendency and before the	200
	completion of assessment or during the pendency of Appeal before the appellate authority	233
•	Finding of the Appellate Tribunal	
•	Existence of other mode of recovery cannot act as a bar to the initiatic prosecution proceedings	n of
•	Text of Section 200 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973	
•	Text of Section 88 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973	
•	Step – 1: Case is instituted under section 190 of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973	236
	❖ Text of Section 190 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973	237

xxviii CONTENTS

STEP – 2: Summons is issued under section 204 of the Code of Crimin Procedure, 1973	
Text of Section 204 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973	
Text of Section 205 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973	
 STEP – 3: The accused can file discharge application under 	.238
 Text of Section 245 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 	238
STEP – 4: Charges are framed under section 246(1) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973	.238
Text of Section 246 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973	.239
STEP – 5: Accused statement is recorded under section 313	.239
Text of Section 313 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973	.239
• STEP – 6: If the trial results in a conviction, then an appeal to the court of session will lie under Section 374(3) of the Criminal	240
Procedure Code	.240
• CBDT's Circular No. 24/2019, dated: 09.09.2019 [F.No.2 85/08/2014-IT (Inv. V)/349]	.240
CHAPTER 40: BAILABLE AND NON-BAILABLE OFFENCES	. _ 10
 In granting or not granting of bail in a non-bailable offence, the primary consideration is the nature and gravity of the offence 	.243
Anticipatory bail	.243
Obtaining an exemption from appearing in Court until required to do so by the requirement of the Court	.244
Text of Section 205 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1973	.244
Text of Section 317 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1973	.245
Are economic offences to be judged by a different yardstick as compared to other offences?	.245
CHAPTER 41: POWER OF CENTRAL GOVERNMENT TO GRANT IMMUNITY [SECTION 291]	
 Text of Section 291 	247
CHAPTER 42: OFFENCES AND PROSECUTION UNDER BENAMI LAW	-
Prosecution	
Who can file prosecution complaints	
Previous sanction of the Board [Section 55]	
Stage of Filing Prosecution Complaints	
Person found guilty of offence of Benami Transaction liable for: Person found guilty of offence of Benami Transaction liable for:	.250
Offences under Benami Transaction (Prohibition) Act, 1988 not to be compounded	.250

CONTENTS xxix

	AND SERVICES TAX LAW (GST)	
•	What is an offence?	251
•	Offences and punishment under GST [Section 132]	251
•	Every subsequent offence punishable [Section 132(2)]	
•	Minimum Punishment [Section 132(3)]	254
•	Offences are non-cognisable and bailable [Section 132(4)/(5)]	
•	Sanction for Prosecution [Section 132(6)]	
•	Prosecution of Officers [Section 133]	255
•	Presumption of culpable mental state [Section 135]	255
•	Relevancy of statements under certain circumstances [Section 136]	
•	Offences by companies and certain other persons	256
•	Compounding of offences [Section 138]	256
•	Arrest power [Section 69)	257
•	Limitation period for taking cognizance or institution of prosecution	257
•	When has anyone committed an offence under GST?	258
•	Offences under GST by Companies, LLPs, HUFs and others	259
•	Offences liable for prosecution	259
•	Punishment	260
•	Punishment for destroying evidence	260
•	Repeat offenders	260
•	Compounding of offences under GST	261
•	What is compounding of offences?	261
•	Non-availability of compounding	261
•	Amount payable for compounding	261
•	Abatement of further proceedings	261
	CHAPTER 44: OFFENCES AND PROSECUTION UNDER CUSTOMS ACT, 1962	
•	Types of Punishments	262
•	Legal provisions under Customs Act, 1962	262
	❖ ANNEXURE – I (Contd.)	268
•	Guidelines For Prosecution	269
	CHAPTER 45: OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF MONEY- LAUNDERING ACT, 2002	
•	Agency administers the Prevention of Money Laundering Act	282
•	Offence of Money-Laundering [Section 3]	
•	Punishment for money-laundering [Section 4]	

xxx CONTENTS

CHAPTER 46: OFFENCES UNDER THE BLACK MONEY (UNDISCLOSED FOREIGN INCOME AND ASSETS) AND IMPOSITION OF TAX ACT, 2015

•	ishment for failure to furnish return of income- Where the assessee not disclose its foreign assets in the course of search as well as in the ement commission proceedings, he was liable to prosecution under provisions of Black Money Act		
	Section 139 of the Act - Offence under Section 50 of the Black money Act is made out only if, in the return of income under sub-section (1) or sub-section (4) or sub-section (5) of the Income-tax Act, there has been a wilful failure to disclose any information relating to foreign asset – On facts the asset was disclosed in Schedule FA and in the case of Karti Chidambaram , in the original return of income filed and other three cases in the revised return of income filed within due date ; sanctioning authority has come to an erroneous conclusion that the case deserves prosecution for non-doscloure of the details of the asset in the return of income filed under Section 139(1). Sanction order was set aside, offences under Section 50 is not made out consequently , complaints filed are quashed . However, contention of the assessee that the Principal Director of Income-tax is not an authority, jurisdiction/competence under Section 55 of the Black Money Act, to sanction prosecution or file a prosecution complaint for offences under Section 50 of the Black Money Act is not accepted	noney ion (1) or as been a set – On Karti three nctioning eserves return of e, offences ed are al Director der Section esecution et is not	
•	Undisclosed Foreign Income – Court cannot extend or reduce time contrary to statutory provisions – Summons issued only after notices were issued - Issue of parallel proceedings is question of fact - A writ of prohibition could not be issued to prevent the authorities from initiating prosecution, as that would render the provisions of section 48 inoperative		
	CHAPTER 47: OFFENCES AND PROSECUTIONS UNDER INDIAN PENAL CODE, 1860 (IPC)		
•	Whether for the offences committed under the Income-tax Act, prosecution can also be launched under Indian Penal Code		
	CHAPTER 48: ITBA-PROSECUTION INSTRUCTIONS		
•	ITBA Portal \rightarrow Login \rightarrow Modules \rightarrow Prosecution		
•	The path for initiating Immunity proceedings is:		
•	The path for viewing MN is:		